

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

TAMIL NADU POLICE
INTEGRATED INVESTIGATION FORM-I

முதல் தகவல் அறிக்கை
(Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)
(சூ.ந.வி.தொ.பிரிவு 154 இன் கீழ்)

C 8102044

1. District : *Madurai* PS: *Vigilance and Anti-Corruption* Year: *2018* FIR No.: *06/2018* Date: *27.02.2018*
மாவட்டம் காவல்நிலையம் *Madurai Detachment* ஆண்டு முதலாளி நாள்
2. (i) Act சட்டம்: *Indian Penal Code* Sections பிரிவுகள்: *120(c), 167, 420, 467, 468 and 471 II*
(ii) Act சட்டம்: *Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988* Sections பிரிவுகள்: *13(2) & 13(1)(c) and (d) of PC Act, 1988.*
(iii) Act சட்டம்: Sections பிரிவுகள்: *-*
(iv) Other Acts & Sections பிற சட்டங்களும், பிரிவுகளும் :
3. (a) Occurrence of Offence Day : Date from : *During the year* Date to :
குற்ற நிகழ்வு நாள் நாள் முதல் *2017-13* நாள் வரை
Time Period : Time from : Time to :
நேர அளவு நேரம் முதல் நேரம் வரை
(b) Information Received at PS. Date : *27.02.2018* Time : *11.00 hrs.*
காவல் நிலையத்திற்கு தகவல் கிடைத்த நாள் நேரம்
(c) General Diary Reference : Entry No(s) *27.02.2018* Time : *11.00 hrs.*
பொது நாட்குறிப்பில் பதிவு விவரம் என் நேரம்
4. Type of Information : *Written/ Oral*
தகவலின் வகை : எழுத்து மூலம் / வாய் மொழியாக *On a Credible Information.*
5. Place of Occurrence (a) Direction and Distance from PS:
குற்ற நிகழ்விடம் (அ) காவல்நிலையத்திலிருந்து எவ்வளவு தூரமும், எத்திசையும் *Kottampatti Panchayat Union, Madurai District.*
Beat Number : (b) Address :
முறைக் காவல் எண் முகவரி
- (c) In case outside limit of this Police Station, then the Name of P.S : District :
இக்காவல் நிலைய எல்லைக்கப்பால் நடந்து இருக்குமாயின், அந்நிலையில் அந்த கா.நி.பெயர் மாவட்டம்
6. Complainant /Informant (a) Name : *R. Pandiatajan* (b) Father's/ Husband's Name : *Ramaiah*
குற்றமுறையிட்டாளர்/ தகவல் தந்தவர் பெயர் தந்தை / கணவர் பெயர்
(c) Date / Year of Birth : *11.06.1960* (d) Nationality : *Indian* (e) Passport No. : *-*
நாள் / பிறந்த ஆண்டு நாட்டினம் வெளிநாட்டு கடவுச்சீட்டு எண்
Date of Issue : Place of Issue :
வழங்கப்பட்ட நாள் வழங்கப்பட்ட இடம்
(f) Occupation : (g) Address : *Vigilance and Anti-Corruption, Madurai.*
தொழில் *Dy. Supt. of Police.* முகவரி
7. Details of Known/Suspected/Unknown accused with full particulars. (Attach separate sheet if necessary)
தெரிந்த / ஐயப்பாட்டிற்குரிய / தெரியாத குற்றஞ்சாட்டப்பட்டவரின் முழுமையான விவரங்கள்
(தேவையெனில் தனித்தான் இணைக்கவும்)
1) T. S. Karthikeyan, Block Development officer, then Kottampatti Panchayat Union, Madurai Dist.
2) T. S. Kirubakaran, Overseer, then Kottampatti Panchayat Union, Madurai Dist.
3) T. Mahalingam, formerly Panchayat President So Ekkipatti Panchayat, Kottampatti Panchayat Union, Madurai Dist.
8. Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant / informant: *Panchayat Union, Madurai Dist.*
குற்றமுறையிட்டாளரால் / தகவல் கொடுப்பவரால் முறையிட்டதில் தகவல் கொடுப்பதில் தாமதம்
On receipt of information, a detailed enquiry in DE. 75/15/ADP/142 was conducted and there after the case is registered. Hence the delay.

9. Particulars of properties stolen / involved (Attach separate sheet if necessary)
கனவாடப்பட்ட / களவிற்குள்ளான சொத்துக்களின் விவரம் (தேவையெனில் தனித் தாளில் இணைக்கவும்)

- NIL -

10. Total value of properties stolen / involved :
கனவாடப்பட்ட / களவிற்குள்ளான சொத்துக்களின் மொத்த மதிப்பு -- NIL --
11. Inquest Report / Un-natural death Case No. if any:
பிண விசாரணை அறிக்கை / இயற்கைக்கு மாறான இறப்பு என் ஏதேனும் இருந்தால் - NIL -
12. FIR Contents (Attach separate sheet, if required) :
முதல் தகவல் அறிக்கையின் கருக்கம். (தேவையெனில் தனித்தாளில் இணைக்கவும்)

- Separate sheet attached.

13. Action taken : Since the above report reveals commission of offence(s) u/s as mentioned at item No.2 registered the case and took up the investigation / directed A. Ambareesh, Inspector of Police to take up the investigation / Refused Investigation / transferred to PS.....Vayal. Madurai.....on point of jurisdiction.

எடுக்கப்பட்ட நடவடிக்கை : மேலே குற்ற முறையிட்டில் உள்ளவை பிரிவு 2ல் கூறப்பட்ட சட்ட பிரிவுப்படியான குற்றமாக வழக்கு பதிவு செய்து புலனாய்வுக்கு எடுத்துக்கொள்ளப்பட்டது / பணிக்கப்படுதல் பதவி நிலை பணியாளரின் புலனாய்வுக்கு எடுத்துக்கொள்ள பணிக்கப்பட்டது / மறுக்கப்பட்டு எல்லையைக் கருதி புலனாய்வுக்கு காவல் நிலையத்திற்கு மாற்றப்படுகிறது.

FIR read over to the Complainant / Informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the Complainant / Informant free of cost.

மு.த.அ. குற்றமுறையிட்டாளருக்கு / தகவல் தந்தவருக்கு படித்துக்காட்டி, அது சரியாக எழுதப்பட்டு இருப்பதாக ஏற்றுக் கொள்ளப்பட்டு, அதன் படி நகல் ஒன்று இலவசமாக கொடுக்கப்பட்டது.

14. Signature / Thumb Impression of the Complainant / Informant
குற்றமுறையிட்டாளர் / தகவல் கொடுப்பவரின் ஒப்பம் / பெருவிரல் இரேகைப் பதிவு

Signature of the Officer in-charge, Police Station
காவல் நிலைய பொறுப்பு அலுவலரின் ஒப்பம்

15. Date & Time of despatch to the court:
நீதிமன்றத்திற்கு அனுப்பப்பட்ட நாளும், நேரமும்
27.02.2018 04:30 hrs.

Name: பெயர் R. PANDIARAJAN.
Rank: நிலை No. எண்
Dy. Super. of Police,
Vayal. Madurai.

Submitted:-

Accused No.1 was the Block Development Officer, Kottampatti Panchayat Union during the year 2013-2014. Accused No.2 was the Overseer, Kottampatti Panchayat Union between April 2013 and October 2014. Accused No.3 was the Panchayat President, Sekkipatti Panchayat, Kottampatti Panchayat Union, Madurai District between October 2011 and October 2016 and all the 3 Accused are Public Servants as defined under Section 2 (c) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

On credible information as to the commission of irregularities and malpractices in the implementation of IAY Scheme 2012-13 at Seikkipatti Panchayat, a Detailed Enquiry was conducted as per the order of DVAC, Chennai in DE.75/2015/RDP/HQ. During the enquiry, the following facts were brought to light.

As per the Indira Awaas Yojana scheme, the selection of beneficiaries are to be made by the Panchayat President and to send the list of beneficiaries to the Block Development Officer concerned. The Duty of Block Development Officer in this scheme is to verify the genuineness of the beneficiaries through Deputy Block Development Officer and to issue work order and then to pass the bills. The duty of the Overseer concerned is to make field verification, make entry in the M.Books and to submit bills to the Block Development Officer for passing orders. Finally the amount is to be disbursed to the beneficiaries through the Panchayat President.

The purpose of the IAY Scheme is to provide financial assistance to some of the weakest sections of the society for them to upgrade or construct a house of respectable quality for their personal living. The eligibility criteria is SC, ST freed bonded labourers, minorities and non-SC/ST rural household in the BPL category, widows and next-of-kin to defense personnel/paramilitary forces killed in action. It is a centrally sponsored scheme funded on a cost sharing basis.

between the Central Government and the State Government in the 75% : 25% ratio.

Based on the G.O.(MS) No.87 Rural Development and Panchayat Raj (CGS.1) Department, dated 16.11.2011, the Collector of Madurai has issued Proceedings in C.No.232/2010 (RHS) dated 24.3.2012 and C.No.91/2013/19.12, dated 15.4.2013 in which fund was allotted to Seikkipatti Village Panchayat for the construction of houses each worth Rs.1,00,000/- for poor people in Seikkipatti Village Panchayat. This scheme was implemented through the DRDA, Madurai District.

Under the IAY Scheme 2012-2013, list of 9 beneficiaries was prepared by A-3 and for that Seikkipatti Grama Sabha passed resolution and then the list was sent to A-1 B.D.O. of Kottampatti Panchayat Union. A-1, without verifying the genuineness of the beneficiaries, issued work orders to the said 9 beneficiaries. A-2 the then Overseer of Kottampatti Panchayat Union, whose duty was to report the progress of the construction stage by stage, gave false report that the constructions were in progress but in fact most of the constructions were already over.

In the year 2010-11, Rural Housing Scheme was implemented, but this scheme could not be completed due to deficiency of fund and hence three beneficiaries were selected under Indira Awaas Yojana Scheme 2012-2013 to construct their houses at the level of basement. Considering the hardships faced by the beneficiaries, the District Collector in his proceedings in C.No.232/2010/RHS dated 24.3.2012 issued orders for 758 beneficiaries under Rural Housing Scheme 2010-2011, was cancelled and are included in IAY Scheme 2012-13 for completing the construction of house buildings. Necessary fund was released to them under IAY Scheme. Most of the

beneficiaries of Seikkipatti village under IAY Scheme had already constructed their houses with their own resources. But they were selected as beneficiaries under IAY Scheme under the head of A.O.3 Tr.V.Malaichamy, Panchayat President, Seikkipatti.

The following are some of the instances showing the malpractices committed by the Accused in the construction of houses to the ineligible beneficiaries under Indira Awaas Yojana Scheme 2012-13.

As per the report of Tr.Ramalingam, formerly V.A.O. of Seikkipatti Panchayat, the Beneficiaries Tr.M.Sevugaperumal, Tmt.N.Chinnapillai and Tr.N.Sivasami @ Allimuthukone are not eligible under this scheme as they are having in possession of agricultural lands.

As per M.Books, nine houses were constructed under IAY Scheme 2012-13 at Seikkipatti village. But actually construction of the said 9 houses was not completed fully as per the guidelines and that bogus bills were also created. Accused-1 issued the work orders to the beneficiaries through Accused-3 Tr.Malaichamy, Panchayat President, Accused-1 and 2 had not visited the spot during the construction of houses at Seikkipatti village. Super Check Officer has reported that actual measurements of the above said 9 houses are not tallied with M.Books and hence, the age of the building could not be assessed accurately at this stage.

As per the super check report, out of 9 houses, 4 houses were constructed between 6 and 10 years prior to 2016. Ineligible beneficiaries Tr.Sevugaperumal, Tmt.Alagi, Tmt.Chinnapillai and Tr.Periyiah constructed their houses prior to 2012-2013. Their old houses were showed as if the houses were constructed under this scheme in the year 2012-2013. M.Books were recorded by Accused-2 as if to show that these houses were constructed in the year 2012-13 itself. It is also established that non visiting of A.Os during the construction

of houses under this scheme and passing of amount to the beneficiaries were done only after obtaining pecuniary advantages from the beneficiaries.

The signature of one Tmt.Pandiammal was forged and recorded as if she had received Rs.54,293/- for the construction of house under IAY scheme 2012-2013, while she constructed a house at her own site up to basement level under Rural Housing Scheme 2010-2011 and due to non allotment of fund, she completed the construction by borrowing money from others. She disowned the signature found in the Disbursement Register of Sekkipatty Panchayat.

T.K.Pasuvanathan had constructed a house at his own site upto basement level under Rural Housing Scheme 2010-11 and further fund was not allotted, he completed the construction by borrowing money from others. He has further stated that he had not received the fund for the construction of house under IAY Scheme 2012-13. But at the request of the officers, he had put his thump impression in the register.


The fund for the construction of house buildings each worth of Rs.1,00,000/- under IAY Scheme should be released in four installments. But it is seen that the full amount was released in one installment mostly. No photograph was taken stage by stage during the construction of above said 9 houses.

The commission of the above said irregularities caused a wrongful loss to the Government. The role of other beneficiaries/Accused will be looked in to during investigation.

On the basis of credible, reliable and substantial information that Accused-1 to 3, while holding their posts of public servants, during the period between 2012-2013, entered in to criminal conspiracy with each other, abused their official position and connived with the ineligible beneficiaries by forging their

signatures and thereby misappropriated the Government fund and thereby committed criminal breach of trust and seem to dishonestly, fraudulently, criminally misappropriated the Government fund and thereby gained wrongfully and also created the false and forged records as true by cheating the Government and thereby the Government sustained wrongful loss and the Accused gained the amount wrongfully, using the forged records as true for the purpose of cheating, and as such, prima facie, there is sufficient material, both oral and documentary to go for a Regular case under section 120-B, 167, 420, 467, 468, and 471 IPC and Sec. 13 (2) r/w 13 (1)(c)& (d) of PC Act 1988, against the aforesaid Accused-1 to 3. The registration of FIR is necessary to investigate and to unearth further facts and hence, I am registering a case in Crime No.06/2018 of Madurai V&AC Unit against the Accused under the above sections of law for the purpose of conducting investigation.

The original FIR is submitted to the Special Judge, Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Madurai and copies were sent to the officers concerned.


Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Vigilance and Anti Corruption,
Madurai.